Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Oil & Gas Resources Management Facility Report

No: -215630671

API Well No. 34079202610000		Project:				
County: JACKSON	Twp BLOOMFIELD	Sec:	16	Lot:	WI Typ:	OG_R
Owner: 9093 #Name?			Resp Co:			
Well Name RAPIER		No. D1-16-35 WH_LA	т 38.9998 90) \	WH_LONG -82.51201	0
Directions to site:						
Inspection Type: Exempt Well P	re Transfer	Cont Addr	tact: ess:		Phone	
Inspection Purpose:						

Type of Notification

Contact MATTHEW FULK

Inspection Comments:

Pre exempt transfer inspection for domestic use well requested by Columbus. Upon arrival at the wells site I found a gas well that had no flow line connected to any of the casing heads. The seven inch casing head had one 2" fitting with a cap in it to shut it in. The other fitting in that casing head had a nipple with a valve that was in the open position. The 4 inch casing head had both fittings closed off by use of valves. The tubing had a valve, then a tee with one side plugged and the other side reduced down for a pressure gauge. There were no production lines coming off the well, even just a line for house gas. The well appeared to be in an idle state. The only ID at the well was a blank Knox energy sign. There was also about 18 joints of 2" laying on the ground by the well.

Accompanied by						
INJECTIONPRESSUR	Vac	ANNULUSPR	ESSUR	Vac	FLOWMETER	Vac
HAULERNO:	LISCEN	ISE_NU				
	P_REST_F	pass: O	F_REST_PASS:	: O		
Inspection Date:	2/17/	2016	Signature:			
Date notice of violation Issued:					MATTHEW FULK	
Required action to be completed	by:		Revie	wed by:		
Extended action due:			Form	ard to:		
Required action completed:			Durat	tion:	hrs	Section/Name

Form DNR 5611 Revised 12/15/2002 Division Form #57a

Compliance Notice

Ohio Deptartment of Natural Resources Division of Oil & Gas Resources Management Region Phone (614) 265-6922

THIS IS TO NOTIFY YOU THAT AN INSPECTION WAS CONDUCTED BY THE ENFORCEMENT SECTION OF THE DIVISION OF OIL & GAS RESOURCES MANAGEMENT, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, ON THE BELOW DATE, AND THE FOLLOWING VIOLATIONS WERE NOTED.

API Well No.									
34-079-20261-00-00			Project:						
County: JACKSON	Twp.	BLOOMFIELD	Sec:	I	ο ι	.ot:	0	WI Typ:	OG_R
Owner: SHERMAN STEVEN	P & MICHEL	L D		Resp Co	1				
Well Name: RAPIER			No.: D1-	16-35					
Directions to site:									
Inspection Type: Ad	ministrative	Inspection	Conta	act:			Phone	(614) 26	5-6921
Inspection Purpose: Add	pose: Administrative Inspection			Address					
Type of Notification		Contact KA	THY SMITH	-					
NOV Requirements: Ir Faliure to pay \$60 fee for 2014. 1509.50 Oil and gas regulatory co (2) The oil and gas regulatory co domestic well on and after June	nspection re cost recovery st recovery 30, 2010, s	quires action y assessment. assessment for a hall be sixty dolla	a well that b	ecomes an	n exer	npt of oil a	nd		
gas resources management on t TOTAL AMOUNT OWED \$60.00	he first day).	of July of each y	ear.						
OHIO REVISED CODE CHAPT DIVISION MAY PURSUE.	ER 1509. F	PROVIDES FOR	ADDITION/	AL NON-EX	KCLU	SIVE R	EMEDIES	WHICH TH	ΗE
Accompanied by									
INJECTION/PRESSURE	Vac	ANNULUS/PR	ESSURE:		Vac	FLOW	/METER] Vac
HAULER NO:	LIS	CENSE_NUM:							
Inspection Date:	12/3	1/2014		Signature					
Date notice of violation Issued:	12/3	1/2014		olginataro.		KATH	Y SMITH		
Required action to be completed	by: 1/31	/2015		Reviewed I	oy:				
Extended action completed:				Forward to	:				
Required action completed:	1/9/2	2015						Section/Na	ime

Form DNR 5611 Revised 12/15/2002 Division Form #57a

Compliance Notice

Ohio Deptartment of Natural Resources Division of Oil & Gas Resources Management Region Phone (740) 286-6411

THIS IS TO NOTIFY YOU THAT AN INSPECTION WAS CONDUCTED BY THE ENFORCEMENT SECTION OF THE DIVISION OF OIL & GAS RESOURCES MANAGEMENT, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, ON THE BELOW DATE, AND THE FOLLOWING VIOLATIONS WERE NOTED.

API Well No.							
34-079-20261-00-	00		Project:				
County: JACKSON	Twp.	BLOOMFIELD	Sec:	0	Lot:	0	WI Typ: OG_R
Owner: SHERMAN STEV	'EN P & MICHE	LLD ···		Resp Co			
Well Name: RAPIER			No.: D1-1	6-35			
Directions to site:							
Inspection Type:	Production We	ells	Conta- Addre	ct: ss		Phone	(740) 978-0309
Inspection Purpose:	pection Purpose: Status Check						
Type of Notification Phone	Call	Contact MC	RGAN BUT	TON			
NOV Requirements: The well was shut-in, not pro Sign barely legible. Propert he needed to transfer owner in Columbus about getting th	Inspection re oducing gas. W y ownership cha ship from Knox he latest forms	equires action /ell head was 25' f ange is in the proc to his company. for changing owne	from tank. T cess. New o Gave him in ership of a w	here was no wner contac formation a rell.	o contain ted me nd had h	oment berm a on 09.21.201: im contact E	round tank. 2 about what rica Freeman
DIVISION MAY PURSUE.	APTER 1509.1	PROVIDES FOR		L NON-EXC			
Accompanied by							
INJECTION/PRESSURE	Vac	ANNULUS/PRI	ESSURE:	Va	∞ FLC	W/METER	Vac
HAULER NO:	LIS	SCENSE_NUM:					
Inspection Date:	9/24	/2012	ç	Signature:			
Date notice of violation Issue	ed:		_		MC	RGAN BUTTON	
Required action to be comple	eted by:		F	Reviewed by:			
Extended action completed:			F	orward to:			
Required action completed:							Section/Name

ATTACHMENT D

Acid Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) Plan for the Little Raccoon Creek, Watershed, Jackson County, Ohio

ACID MINE DRAINAGE ABATEMENT AND TREATMENT (AMDAT) PLAN FOR THE LITTLE RACCOON CREEK WATERSHED, JACKSON COUNTY, OHIO

by

Brett Laverty Mia Painter Mary Stoertz Ohio University, Department of Geological Sciences, Athens, Ohio

J.B. Hoy

Rachael Hoy

Ohio University, Institute for Local Government Administration and Rural Development

Jennifer Last Ohio University, Masters of Science and Environmental Studies Program

> Chip Rice Coordinator, Raccoon Creek Improvement Project

Mitchell Farley

Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mineral Resources

June 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
LIST OF FIGURES	II
SECTION 1: AMD ABATEMENT AND TREATMENT PLAN	1
INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose and organization of report	
Methods	2
ABSTRACT	3
IDENTIFICATION OF THE HYDROLOGIC UNIT	
AMD EFFECTS ON WATER QUALITY AND BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	4
Watershed description	4
Mining history	6
Water quality	7
Biological health	
TRIBUTARIES	
Mulga Run	
Rich Run	
T-124 Seep	
Middleton Run	
Flint Run	
Goose Run	
PROPOSED TREATMENT	61
Treatment selection and costs	61
Benefits and cost-effectiveness	
FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES	65
FUTURE MONITORING	
Pre-construction monitoring	
Post-construction monitoring	
Long-term watershed monitoring	
Low priority sites	67
REFERENCES	68
SECTION 2: ATTACHMENTS	69
APPENDIX 1. WATER OUALITY DATA	
APPENDIX 2. USGS BASELINE BIOLOGICAL DATA	
APPENDIX 3. TREATMENT SYSTEM SELECTION PARAMETERS	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2: Sampling Sites Along the Mainstem and at Tributary Mouths
Figure 3: Acidity and Metal Loading from the Mouth of Little Raccoon Creek Over Time
Over Time
Figure 4: Acidity, Alkalinity and Metal Loading in the Little Raccoon Creek
Mainstem under High-Flow Conditions (3/24/98 to 3/25/98)10
Figure 5: Acidity, Alkalinity and Metal Loading in the Little Raccoon Creek
Mainstem under Low-Flow Conditions (6/22/99 to 6/24/99)11
Figure 6: Percent Acidity and Metal Loading to Little Raccoon Creek from
Various Tributaries under High-Flow Conditions (3/24/98-3/25/98)12
Figure 7: Percent Acidity and Metal Loading to Little Raccoon Creek from
Various Tributaries under Low-Flow Conditions (6/22/99-6/24/99)12
Figure 8: Site Map for Mulga Run Sub-Watershed
Figure 9: Acidity and Metal Loading into Mulga Run from All Sources
(4/28/98-4/29/98)
Figure 10: Site Map for Rich Run and T-124 Seep Sub-Watersheds
Figure 11: Site Map for Middleton Run and Flint Run Sub-Watersheds
Figure 12: Acidity and Metal Loading into Middleton Run from All Sources
Of AMD (7/15/98, 7/21/98)
Figure 13: Acidity and Metal Loading into Middleton Run from All Sources of
AMD at Low-Flow (10/25/99-10/26/99)
Figure 14: Acidity and Metal Loading into Flint Run from All Sources
(5/24/99-5/25/99)
Figure 15: Site Map for Goose Run Sub-Watershed
Figure 16: Acidity and Metal Loading into Goose Run from All Sources
(8/26/98,10/18/99)
Figure 17: Treatment Recommendations for Sites in the Little Raccoon
Creek Watershed
Figure 18: Treatment Costs and Cost Effectiveness

SECTION 1: AMD ABATEMENT AND TREATMENT PLAN

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE AND ORGANIZATION OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to provide a rationale for treating Little Raccoon Creek watershed sources of acidic, sediment and metal-laden waters. Justification consists of evidence that the creek is contaminated to the point of being unable to sustain healthy aquatic communities. The rationale for treatment of particular sources is prioritized based on heavy acidity and metal loading. Because acid mine drainage is widespread in the basin, an intensive field investigation was conducted to identify (1) highly polluted tributaries, and then (2) sources of pollution within the tributaries. Users of this data should be cautioned that water quality shows extreme variability, and that these data represent discrete samples in time. They do not represent mean annual conditions, although in many cases high- and low-flow conditions were sampled. We believe that they show relative contributions of sources, allowing sources to be prioritized. There is a strong possibility that important sources could be discovered in the future, as discussed in the section Future Monitoring. Before detailed source reclamation is designed, water quality variation at treatment sites should be measured over a period of time to characterize variability of design parameters such as flow or acidity loading. This report measures spatial variability of water quality over a large area, at a few points in time. Treatment designs require measuring time-variability of water quality at relevant points over at least a year. Design may also warrant analyzing additional parameters that may be a concern in treatment.

This report includes, (1) mainstem loading, (2) tributary loading, and (3) source loading, described by sub-watershed. The intent of this structure is to allow sub-watershed sections to be pulled out individually for inclusion in proposals as the Raccoon Creek Improvement Committee and partners find time and funds for characterizing, monitoring and treating sources.

METHODS

A phased approach was used to prioritize sources based on acidity and metal loads. A Corning Checkmate meter was used to measure pH and specific conductance. The meter was calibrated daily.

Phase I: The Little Raccoon Creek mainstem and tributary mouths (36 sites) were sampled during a 3-day period.

Phase II: Each sub-watershed was screened over several days. Feeder streams of poor water quality based on this screening were visited on a second trip to collect grab samples.

Phase III: Point sources were identified by following poor-quality feeder streams up to the sources of acid mine drainage. Grab samples were taken, discharge was measured, and a qualitative site assessment was done to identify gob piles, ponding, or any other relevant features to the source or treatment.

Samples were collected in a triple-rinsed bucket and split into two triple-rinsed bottles. One bottle was acidified with 20% HCl solution; the other was a cubitainer with the air squeezed out of the headspace. Samples were not filtered. Samples were analyzed in Coshocton Environmental Testing Lab, and later ODNR's Cambridge lab, using the same protocol. Parameters measured were ODNR's Group I (pH, total acidity as CaCO₃, total alkalinity, specific conductance, total suspended solids, sulfate, total iron, total manganese, aluminum, hardness and total dissolved solids). Group I is sufficient to prioritize sources based on acidity and metal loads.

Discharge was measured for each sample in order to calculate loading (concentration x discharge), using methods appropriate to flow volume. For <u>large discharges</u> a pygmy meter was used. The meter was calibrated daily using 60 seconds of free spin as a criterion. For <u>moderate discharges</u>, a collapsible cutthroat Baski flume was used. Flume throat size (1", 2", 4" or 8") was selected to keep the stage in the flume between 0.2 and 0.5 feet. For <u>small discharges</u>, the flow was dammed and piped into a length of PVC to capture with a bucket using a stopwatch to measure filling time. Samples were packed in ice immediately to limit reactions, and shipped in ice to arrive at the lab on a daily basis.

Loading is calculated as the product of discharge with acidity, alkalinity or metal concentration, and is expressed in lb/day because of treatment considerations. In this report,

metal loading is the sum of the individual loads of the three Group I metals, iron, manganese and aluminum.

ABSTRACT

Little Raccoon Creek is a 38.5-mile long stream in Jackson, Vinton and Gallia Counties, and the largest tributary of Raccoon Creek. Historic coal mining activities have caused extreme pollution of the waterway from acid mine drainage and sedimentation. According to the OEPA, in the *Biological and Water Quality Study of The Raccoon Creek Basin (1995)*, a steady improvement in the streams alkalinity and pH should be noted. This improvement is most likely due to the attenuation, remining and the reclamation of abandoned mines by various agencies. The biological health of the stream has similarly improved over the same period, though populations are still inhibited by acid mine drainage from several tributaries entering Little Raccoon Creek.

The Little Raccoon Creek Hydrologic Unit document identifies specific mine sites in Mulga Run, Middleton Run, Rich Run, Flint Run, Goose Run and other discrete locations for remediation. It is thought that targeted reductions in acid mine drainage pollution will provide a significant and immediate improvement in biologic response and overall stream health.

NAME: Lit	tle Raccoon Creek Watershed
TRIBUTARY TO:	Raccoon Creek of Ohio River Basin
LOCATION:	South Central Vinton County, eastern Jackson County, and
	northwest Gallia County, southeast Ohio.
QUADRANGLES:	USGS 7.5' quadrangle Mulga, Ohio covers main AMD area.
DRAINAGE:	155 mi ² ; perennial reach is 38.5 miles long

IDENTIFICATION OF THE HYDROLOGIC UNIT

AMD EFFECTS ON WATER QUALITY AND BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

WATERSHED DESCRIPTION

In the Little Raccoon Creek watershed, acid mine drainage (AMD) from abandoned underground and surface coalmine spoils and coal refuse, has degraded stream water quality and damaged fish and macroinvertebrate habitat. Little Raccoon Creek's perennial reach is 38.5 miles long and has 62.5 miles of tributaries (Figure 1). The headwaters are in south central Vinton County and water flows southeast through eastern Jackson County and enters Raccoon Creek in northwestern Gallia County. The headwaters of Little Raccoon Creek are at RM 50 (that is, 50 river miles upstream from the mouth), six miles northwest of Hamden in Vinton County, with an elevation of approximately 1000 feet. At the mouth of Little Raccoon Creek, in Gallia County, the elevation is approximately 600 feet. The perennial reach of Little Raccoon Creek (RM 0.0 to RM 38.5) drops from 760 ft to 600 ft in 38.5 miles, so the gradient is about 4.2 feet per mile.

The topography is typical of southeastern Ohio, part of the unglaciated Western Allegheny Plateau bioregion, with steep rolling hills and narrow valleys, and an overall watershed relief of 400 feet. The bedrock consists of sedimentary Pottsville, Allegheny, and Conemaugh Formations of the Pennsylvanian Age. This area has an average annual precipitation of 40 inches per year (Harstine, 1991).

Little Raccoon Creek discharges approximately 400 cubic feet per second (cfs) into Raccoon Creek during high flow and less than 10 cfs during low flow. Little Raccoon Creek is a major tributary of Raccoon Creek and accounts for 22% of the drainage area of Raccoon Creek.

Improvements in stream water quality have been noted over time, resulting in improved use designation for some sections of the watershed. Ohio EPA's *Biological and Water Quality Study of The Raccoon Creek Basin (1995)* states that "Alkalinity and pH both showed increases through the period (1988 – 1995)....Those parameters showing an improvement during the period are generally considered mine drainage parameters. These improvements are most likely the result of many projects undertaken throughout the basin by various agencies to abate mine drainage problems" (pg. 128).